JPL Director's Research and Development Fund, FY 2011
New-Proposal Evaluation Report [Consensus]

Proposal number:
Title:

Authors:

Part I: Strategic Importance: (worth 50% of score)

1. Will a successful outcome of the proposed collaborative work have a significant impact on JPL's scientific knowledge or technical capabilities for conducting future programs and projects? Please explain

2. What do the external partners bring to JPL in terms of facilities and/or capabilities?

3. Are the expected contributions of the external and internal team members substantive, balanced, and well integrated? Explain.

4. What missions might benefit from the successful outcome of this work?

5. What is the likelihood that follow-on funding will result from this work?

Strategic Rating: (check one)	**0 No Impact**	**1 Not Important**	**2 Important**	**3 Enabling**

Part II: Technical Evaluation: (worth 50% of score)

1. What are the innovative technology features or scientific discoveries of this proposal? Are they important?

2. Does the proposal contain significant flaws, omissions or errors?
   Yes ___ No ___ If "yes", please give details:

3. Are the proposers technically well qualified to undertake this project?
   Yes ___ No ___ If there are critical skills lacking in the team, please elaborate:

4. Does the plan of work give adequate detail on steps, responsibilities, and schedule?

Technical Rating:	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**
(check one)	**Flawed**	**Work done Elsewhere**	**Important Advance**	**Major breakthrough**

<u>OVERALL RATING:</u>	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**
(check one)	**Do not Consider**	**Below Average**	**Above Average**	**Superior**

Comments for author: